An emotional journey from definition to measurement: a review on food evoked emotions



BACKGROUND: One of the aspects which differentiate consumers' responses towards food product consumption and choice is the emotional response.

A deep knowledge about what an emotion is and how can it be **measured** might help researches to choose the most appropriate method for the purpose of a specific study.

This poster shows a classification of the different methods used to measure the emotional response.

RESULTS

• There are two different types of measurements: implicit and explicit.

MEASUREMENT OF THE EMOTIONAL RESPONSE		
IMPLICIT	EXPLICIT	
Changes in behavior	Self-reported measures	
Brain activity		
Other physiological measures		

• Each method has **pros** and **cons**, so there is not a single method suitable to collect the emotional response. The combination of some of them is the best solution.

Emotions are complex to measure because they involve **five components**. It does not exist a single method capable to collect the information that better fits to the real emotional response. The **combination of different methodologies seems to be the better option** to obtain a more realistic approach to emotions.

EMOTION COMPONENT	MEASUREN	VENT METHODOLOGIES
EXPRESSION	Face	Facial Action Coding System (FACS), Electromyography
Communication of	(Implicit)	(EMG), Automated facial image analysis, Thermal analysis
reaction and behavioral		of facial muscle contractions.
intention	Voice	Amplitude, Frequency
ACTIONITENIDENICIEC	(Implicit)	
ACTION TENDENCIES	Explicit	Push and pull reactions (cards, joysticks)
Preparation and direction		Self-reports of action tendencies
of action	Implicit	Frontal asymmetry by Electroencephalography (EEG)
		Electromyography (EMG)
BODILY REACTIONS	Implicit	Cardiovascular measures (e.g.: heart rate), Electrodermal
System regulation		measures (e.g.: skin conductance), Respiratory activity,
		pupil diameter
	Explicit	"emBODY tool": consumers color regions whose activity
		becomes stronger (subjective perception)
APPRAISAL	Explicit	Self-reported questionnaires
Evaluation of objects and	Implicit	Facial expressions, Voice, Physiological measures
events		Brain-imaging methodologies
FEELINGS	Explicit	Self-reported: verbal or non-verbal measures
Monitoring of internal	Implicit	Physiological measures (e.g.: skin resistance)
state and organism-		Brain-imaging methodologies
environment interaction		

[&]quot;There is no single gold-standard method for emotional measurement, and only by assessing all components of the emotional response, a comprehensive measure of an emotion could be obtained".

PROS AND CONS OF IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT METHODS

IMPLICIT METHODS

Pros

- Good continuity.
- Reflect unconscious emotional response.
- Record the evolution of the emotional response.
- Most of the implicit methods measure arousal or activation rather

Cons

- the valence.
 Require huge training in collection and interpretation of data.
- Different process could "hide" implicit measures: food consumption process, mind state of consumer, and variations in the nervous system, etc.

EXPLICIT METHODS

Pros

- Good ability to measure and to detect subtle differences in emotions.
- Easy to use.
- Easy to interpret.
- Cheaper than implicit ones.
- continuous.Suffer from response bia

Cons

response biases.

May not cover subconscious processes.

They are not











¹BCCInnovation, Spain; ²Euskampus Fundazioa, Spain; ³Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

(Scherer, 2005)